Voting Members

Faculty
• Yang Bai, Physics
• Sabine Gross, German
• Daniel Klingenberg, Chemical and Biological Engineering

Students
• Jack Dorr

Non-Voting Members
• Julie Arensdorf, Memorial Library
• Steven Barkan (LCC Liaison), Director, Law Library
• Phillip Braithwaite, Budget, Planning & Analysis
• Carrie Nelson, College Library
• Ed Van Gemert, Vice Provost for Libraries

Also Present
• Nancy Graff Schultz, GLS, Library Exec Group
• Deborah Helman, LCC, Wendt
• Florence Hsia, History of Science, Integrated Liberal Studies, MLC
• Lee Konrad, GLS, Library Exec Group
• Carrie Kruse, GLS, College
• Anna Lewis, LCC, MERIT
• Megan McBride, Budget & Policy Analyst, Architectural Designer, FP&M
• Lesley Moyo, GLS, Library Exec Group
• Julie Schneider, LCC, Ebling
• Natasha Veeser, GLS, LCC
• Doug Way, GLS, Library Exec Group
Approve March Minutes
Approved with no corrections.

Update on plans to elect next ULC chair
- Ed Van Gemert: We’ve addressed a wide range of issues in the ULC this year, particularly around open access and scholarly communication, and issues of new acquisitions and inflationary funding. I would also appreciate having another member of the committee set the agendas, since it’s not my committee.
- Sabine Grosse & Dan Klingenberg: It’s good to have the chair rotate.

Tasks:
- Carrie Nelson will send an email out after this meeting with a deadline for nominations. We currently have one nomination (Dan Klingenberg).

Update on Campus Libraries Facilities Master Plan (Lesley Moyo, Carrie Kruse, Megan McBride)
- Carrie Kruse: Director of College Library, user experience and library spaces
- Megan McBride: Facilities Master Planning and Campus Planning
- Megan: Have already gone through this process with other departments on campus: College of Letters & Sciences, Athletics, campus master plan, Rec Sports, Engineering. This process is not just for the Libraries.
- Carrie K.: Key points to know: Master planning is run by FP&M, and Megan is our project lead for this. This is under DOA oversight and UW-System, and we went through a formal RFP. Anderson is the architect, and Bright Spot is the library consulting team. We are now in the waiting process to have it signed by the governor. Our plan is to begin as soon as the contract is signed. This is also impacted by the academic year and who is available on campus. We can plan on some heavy involvement from the campus in the fall. Core team includes libraries and FP&M, architects, consultants, UW-System, and DOA—we make sure everything keeps moving. Bright Spot consultants will be holding various engagement events. Steering Committee role provides consistent engagement throughout the process, and we would love to have ULC involvement. This would be approximately a one-year commitment. The libraries also have a space planning committee (Lesley Moyo is executive sponsor), which is engaging in information gathering. Members bring a variety of perspectives, from technology to teaching & learning spaces, to WisCEL spaces. The scope of libraries for this project includes: Art, Astronomy, Business, Chemistry, College, Ebling, Geography, Geology & Geophysics, Law, Math, Memorial, Mills Music, Special Collections, MERIT & CCBC, Physics, Social Science Reference, Social Work, Steenbock, Archives, and Wendt Commons. The Wisconsin Historical Society Library is not within the scope, but we recognize that they do play a role in the campus libraries services & collections, and will be consulting with them. Will also be considering off-site shelving & storage considerations (e.g. Verona).
- Megan: A master plan is a high-level picture of the libraries, but with no detailed projects described. It describes current space, services, storage, and the direction for libraries to move forward in the long-term.
- Carrie K.: The space planning committee is a GLS committee, but looking to make this campus-wide:
  - Carrie Kruse (chair), Bruce Barton (virtual spaces), Michael Enyart (Business Library), Steve Frye (College, Design Lab, Student academic
services, WisCEL), Dave Luke (public computing, consolidation working group), Sara Mason (associate director of WisCEL), Jean Reunger-Hanson (Steenbock), Nola Walker (assessment), Travis Warwick (Math Library and space & shelving), Lesley (executive sponsor), Alex Johnson (facilities manager for Memorial Library, ex officio), Teresa Adams (FP&M space management, ex officio), and bringing Megan McBride in as well.

- Dan: I’m curious how conclusions will be drawn after one year, while the consolidation plan will be over 2 years?
- Carrie K.: This Facilities Planning Master Plan is the 25-year plan, while others are more short-term. Consolidation is definitely informing what we do, and a draft of the consolidation report was shared with plan developers. Also, consolidation is envisioning specific spaces, but the Master Plan is much broader.
- Ed: The idea is to look cohesively at how to spend our resources, and to avoid one-off projects that aren’t connected to these larger goals.
- Carrie Nelson: Is there one facilities master plan? Or are there several to choose from if/when we get funds in the future?
- Megan: The main goal is to look at all of the libraries as a whole, more visionary, and to guide selection of specific opportunities.
- Carrie K: It’s a guiding document to shape future plans and discussions, and it’s continually evolving, not specific plans.
- Sabine Gross: Do we have a task, and is it to identify steering committee members? Do we want overlap of the space committee and steering committee members?
- Ed: There should be overlap, but we need the ULC to be involved.
- Carrie K.: I would like a majority of the steering committee to not be librarians. There will likely be a half-day commitment/workshop with the consultants for the steering committee members. We are reaching out to other entities on campus (e.g. Union).
- Sabine: Nate Phelps from the FIGS program would be helpful. Also, Todd Michelson Ambelang, who has been a non-librarian here, and also a librarian. Also, it would be great if Carrie Nelson could send out a copy of the information we’ve received today to solicit other nominees.
- Ed: Joan Weckmueller has also indicated interest.

Tasks:
- Carrie Nelson will consult with Carrie Kruse and send out information for soliciting nominations for the Facilities Master Plan Steering Committee

**Update and next steps on Open Access proposal**

- Carrie Nelson: At the last faculty senate meeting, Karl Broman referred the motion to the University Library Committee for further discussion, instead of withdrawing it, so it’s not officially part of their portfolio of items to address for now. Karl has indicated that he is still interested in helping to move this forward. There are a few ideas for what comes next. The University Committee meeting after the faculty senate meeting determined that a department-based education/outreach initiative should come next to try to communicate about open access policies in general. Karl seems open to continued involvement. My role as Director of Scholarly Communication fits nicely into facilitating these types of meetings and developing the resources to inform our community about open access. It’s critical for this to continue to be faculty-driven, instead of a library-driven effort. One possibility would be for the ULC to charge the libraries with undertaking education and
outreach. Libraries are tasked with providing information, while separately faculty can pursue recommendations or advocate for something that would happen in conjunction with this. So the libraries can point to faculty interest in pursuing an open access policy, and the ULC has asked the Libraries to inform faculty about what this would mean and collect issues/concerns to bring back to ULC. So, the libraries are not advocating or making recommendations, they are just informing because the ULC has asked them to do this. We also need to learn which faculty are interested in participating in the next phase.

- Doug Way: This is a standard step in the process for many universities, with faculty leading, and the libraries taking an educating role. We’re trying to determine how to do this efficiently with so many departments on campus.
- Ed: What we’re hearing is that open access is not an either/or decision. We need to give folks a sense of the broader efforts that are happening around campus. There were many misconceptions at the last faculty senate meeting.
- Dan: I’m concerned about this coming from the library—colleagues are worried that this could be an attempt by the libraries to gain more power on campus. So it will be important for faculty to lead and demonstrate that this is not being lead by the libraries, and to learn about disciplinary differences.
- Ed: Anything that suggests that it might impede their advancement or success in getting tenure (not that OA does that) is going to be ill-received by faculty.
- Dan: So, however it moves forward, 1) make sure that any educational meetings have faculty representation, and 2) ensure that this appears to be led by faculty, not by the libraries.
- Carrie: When something is driven by the ULC, is it your sense that it still feels like the libraries are behind it?
- Dan: A bit, but I think anyone who knows about the ULC knows there are more faculty than librarians on the committee.
- Carrie: Important to make sure these education/outreach meetings don’t appear to be an attempt to convince faculty that OA is a good idea. Rather, we might someday have an OA policy, here’s what you need to know in order to make informed decisions and we want to know your concerns to address misconceptions.
- Yang Bai: Typically faculty think about open access in terms of individual journals and having to pay to publish, so it’s important to clarify the term.
- Doug: Open Access is a distribution model and there are two paths: Gold (someone pays to make content available), and Green (reserve rights and publish in subscription journals).
- Dan: We should also include motivation for an open access policy—why bother?
- Sabine: Would it be helpful to call this non-commercial open access?
- Carrie: The policy we drafted is focused on faculty rights as authors; author's rights.
- Yang: Many people think about this as transferring the cost from users to authors.
- Dan: U of Illinois suggested an 'opt-in' policy, as opposed to 'opt-out,’ and top priority was maintaining academic freedom. Next step: identify group that will go to departments. Probably best to not have library staff recruiting people. Carrie, Karl, and myself could think about who might be best to move this forward. Previous OA subcommittee was Karl Broman, Eneida Mendonca, Martin Foys, and Julie Schneider.
- Carrie: Would the University Committee charge someone with this?
- Ed: They are very supportive of advancing this.
- Dan: Whatever we come up with, we should run this by the University Committee.
Tasks:

- Dan, Carrie, and Karl will meet to consider who best could move OA policy forward, and who should lead the education and information gathering process.

*Meeting adjourned at 3:04pm*